

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CARLOS RUIZ,

Petitioner,

vs.

RENEE BAKER et al.,

Respondents.

3:11-cv-00844-RCJ-WGC

ORDER

Petitioner Carlos Ruiz, a prisoner in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections pursuant to convictions in the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, petitioned this Court for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Court denied the Petition and a motion to reconsider. Both this Court and the Court of Appeals denied a certificate of appealability. Petitioner sought relief from judgment to file an amended petition with an additional ground for relief, i.e., ineffective assistance of post-conviction counsel. The Court denied the motion, and Petitioner appealed.


1 The Court of Appeals has remanded for the limited purpose of determining whether a
2 certificate of appealability should issue. The Court finds that a certificate of appealability should
3 not issue because Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of any
4 constitutional right. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Specifically, habeas corpus relief is not
5 available under § 2254 based on ineffective assistance of post-conviction counsel. *Martinez v.*
6 *Ryan*, 132 S. Ct. 1309, 1320 (2012) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 2254(i)); *see also Pennsylvania v.*
7 *Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 554 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 430 (9th Cir. 1993).
8 Petitioner does not seek merely to invoke ineffective assistance of post-conviction counsel to
9 excuse a procedural default of some other claim; he seeks to bring a freestanding claim of
10 ineffective assistance of post-conviction counsel as a basis for habeas corpus relief.

11 CONCLUSION

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated this 24th day of January, 2017.

15
16 
17 ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge